## INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ, P.C.

7150 E. CAMELBACK, SUITE 325 SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA 85251

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Tclephone: (480) 385-5060

Facsimile: (480) 385-5061 OCT n 4 2005

FACSIMILE	TRANSMITTAL	CHERT
LUCOIMILE	INVIANITIES	SHEEL

FROM:	
Paul D. Amrozowicz, Reg. No. 45,264	
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 04, 2005	
TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER-	
SENDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER: H0005310-3112	
RECIPIENTS REFERENCE NUMBER:	
10/788,745	

URGENT

FOR REVIRW

PLEASE COMMENT

PLEASE REPLY

PLRASE RECYCLE

NOTES/COMMENTS:

## FORMAL COMMUNICATION INTENDED FOR **ENTRY**

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED, AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAWS. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OF COPYING OF This communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA U.S. POSTAL SERVICE.

No. 3131 P. 2

Appl. No. 10/788,745

Oct. 4. 2005 1:33PM

Amdt. Dated October 4, 2005, 2005

Reply to Office Action of September 20, 2005

HECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DCT 0 4 2005

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Paul T. WINGETT et al.

Group Art Unit: 3681

Serial No.: 10/788,745

Examiner: R.L. PANG

Filed: February 26, 2004

For:

ENERGY STORAGE FLYWHEEL SYSTEM CONTAINMENT VESSEL

Attorney Docket No.: H0005310—3112

Customer No.: 000128

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (671) 273,

Signature:

Paul D. Amrozov

## RESPONSE TO ELECTION/RESTRICTION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In full and timely response to the Election/Restriction Requirement mailed September 20, 2005, Applicants representative acknowledges the Examiner's finding of the patentable distinctness between three species. According to the Examiner:

Specie 1 is disclosed in FIGS. 1-2;

Specie 2 is disclosed in FIGS. 3-7, 8, 9; and

Specie 3 is disclosed in FIGS. 3-7, 10, 11.

Appl. No. 10/788,745

Amdt. Dated October 4, 2005, 2005

Reply to Office Action of September 20, 2005

In response, Applicants, through their representatives and attorneys, hereby provisionally elect Specie 3 with traverse. It is submitted that at least Claims 1-30 read on Specie 3. This election is with traverse for at least the following reasons.

Initially, Applicants wish to point out that, contrary to the wholly erroneous statement made in the Restriction Requirement, it is readily apparent that none of the claims are even directed to Specie 1. Moreover, it is readily apparent that at least Claims 1-30 are generic claims that read on each of Species 2 and 3.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the Election Requirement set forth in the above-noted Election/Restriction Requirement, and further request examination of all of the presently pending claims.

Moreover, it is clear that the Requirement is essentially mooted, since all of the presently pending claims (i.e., Claims 1-30) read on the specie elected herein. As such, all of the pending claims must be examined anyway.

Dated: October 4, 2005

Respectfully subject

Pau D. Amrozowicz

Reg. No. 45,264